

5<sup>th</sup> OneGeology Operational Management Group  
23 August 2010, Berlin, Germany

**ACTIONS**

Action number	Agenda item	Action	Owner
5.1	<b>2. Minutes &amp; Actions from previous meeting</b>	Action 4.9: FdB was to circulate information on a new Netherlands Law. TvD reported that the Law is progressing slowly and not yet formally agreed. However, a draft has been translated into English and she will forward this to IJ for information.	TvD
5.2		Action 4.15: Roberto Page was to draft new success criterion addressing user needs. No information had been received. <b>ACTION REMAINS</b>	RP
5.3		Action 4.19: Provide GeoSciML training materials to OneGeology. SR AGREED to compile the 'blog' information into a document that can be added to the OneGeology website.	SR
5.4		TD also AGREED to talk to FPC regarding providing documents from the South America training course.	TD, FPC
5.5	<b>3. Operational &amp; Technical progress report and status</b>	GA asked for a diagram of the portal structure, FR said he would ask Agnes to update it and forward.	FR
5.6	<b>7. OneGeology progress in other regions</b>	South America: GA and FPC were asked to forward details and dates of forthcoming training courses to KB/secretariat for inclusion on the OneGeology website.	GA, FPC
5.7		Asia: CCOP have a meeting with the China Geological Survey and the Chinese Academy of Sciences in October; KW will encourage data provision.	KW
5.8		A representative from China is also attending the CGI workshops in Berlin and KA will speak with him.	KA
5.9	<b>9. Linkages with YES Network</b>	RT suggested a competition to help us define and establish that what we are doing is useful/needed. He agreed to look into options for funding this.	RT
5.10		It was agreed that few young geoscientists attend CGI council meetings/working group. KA said that this was discussed at Vienna and that it was agreed that YES members should be more involved. It was agreed to discuss this issue at tomorrow's CGI Council meeting.	KA
5.11		It was agreed to move forward with AT's 'conceptual' suggestions by converting them into actions and assigning an owner to those actions. KB will draft a document and circulate for comment.	KB
5.12		GA requested a list of YES members in South America.	AT
5.13	<b>10. Incorporation of OneGeology</b>	All were asked to provide feedback on the incorporation proposal and what is in the summary by the end of September.	All
5.14	<b>11. OneGeology data provider accreditation scheme</b>	Volunteers for the working group included RT, SR, BS, KA, TD and FR. All OMG members were asked to provide feedback to this working group by 1 <sup>st</sup> October 2010.	All
5.15		The working group agreed to produce a final new scheme by December 2010 for implementation by April 2011.	RT, SR, BS, KA, TD, FR
5.16	<b>12. Recruiting new nations and increasing data services</b>	JP said that the Polish Geological Survey would be visited by representatives from Angola and possibly Zambia soon. She would encourage them to serve data. The PGI also has contacts in the Ukraine so will follow up on this at a meeting soon.	JP

5.17		GA reported that in the recent minutes of an ASGMI meeting in Barquisimeto, Venezuela, participation with CGMW and OneGeology was encouraged. OneGeology should take advantage of this.	GA
5.18		All agreed to attempt to secure one new country to participate and one participating country to serve data.	All
5.19	<b>13. Involving the marine domain</b>	Australian off-shore territories had been substantially extended recently and he would look into whether any data is available.	OR
5.20		All agreed to look into and use contacts where possible and start to work towards having data as a showcase for the 34 <sup>th</sup> IGC.	All
5.21	<b>14. Developing the functionality of OneGeology</b>	RT suggested that the YES Network (and other users) should be asked for an indication of which of these ideas and suggestions for further development are seen as the most important/wanted. All agreed. KB will collate and send information to AT.	KB
5.22		AT will circulate the information provided by the above action and acquire feedback from the YES Network.	AT
5.23		It was agreed that the Technical Working Group (TWG) would run and be responsible for a test-bed portal in preparation for a showcase demo of possible extended data/functionality at the 34 <sup>th</sup> IGC. HT was asked to outline all his ideas and suggestions in an email to all.	HT
5.24		FR agreed to put the information together and take to the TWG.	FR
5.25	<b>15. Success Criteria Review</b>	It was agreed to amend the criterion for determining how many services were available by adding 1a) No. of geological surveys participating and 2a) no. of geological surveys serving data.	KB
5.26		Criteria 9: number of 3 <sup>rd</sup> parties integrating OneGeology services on their website or services. We don't necessarily know who is using the data unless they tell us. It was agreed that the options on how to monitor usage/downloads or set up a user licence agreement, as is the case for OneGeology-Europe participants, should be looked into further.	FR, IJ, RT
5.27		All were asked to look at the current criteria and suggest updates and/or new criteria with a view to being achieved by the IGC (August 2012).	All
5.28	<b>16. Plans for the IGC34 in 2012</b>	HT and KA agreed to be involved on the IGC Preparation Committee with the OneGeology secretariat.	HT, KA
5.29		OR agreed to nominate someone from GA to assist also	OR
5.30	<b>17. Communications</b>	The OMG are requested to <b>SUGGEST</b> ideas for new content and platforms and to send new ideas for the newsletter and/or articles to KB.	All
5.31	<b>18. Workshops, training and conferences</b>	The OMG are requested to forward information of any relevant forthcoming events and opportunities to the OneGeology secretariat.	All
5.32	<b>20. Date and location of next meeting</b>	KB will collate a list of possibilities and circulate to ascertain the preferred option.	KB

K A Booth  
 OneGeology secretariat.  
 26/08/2010.